(3)

(1)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2869/ 2006. SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER : **Ganga Ram Sahu**
S/o Shri. Ram Nath Sahu
Aged around 65 years,
Ex.-BSP employee.
Residing at : Behind Tarun Talkies,
Near Sahu Floor Mill, Santra Badi,
DURG – 490001 (CG).

P.R. No. 2909/06
Presented by Shri B.P. Rao
dated 16/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LTD.,
Through : Its Chairman,
Steel Authority of India Ltd.
Lodhi Road, Ispat Bhawan,
NEW DELHI – 110011.

2. BHILAI STEEL PLANT,
Through : Its Managing Director,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI** - 490001
District : Durg (CG).

3. The Sr. Manager
(Personnel)
Recruitment Section,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

(25)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P..2849/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-6-2006 Heard Mr. B.P. Rao, counsel for the petitioner. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed that respondent No.3 be directed to consider the case of his son for compassionate appointment on account of his retirement on medical ground. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner was employed as Lab. Assistant in the Pathology Department of Bhilai Steel Plant (respondent No.2). Subsequently, the petitioner suffered from certain medical diseases and other allied problems. He was treated at Bhilai Steel Plant Hospital for a long time and ultimately, he was declared Permanent Medical Unfit for the job by the Medical Board of Bhilai Steel Plant. Based on that, the petitioner was relieved from the duties on 19-1-1999 and on that basis, the petitioner submitted an application dated 28-1-1999 (Annexure P-2) for compassionate appointment of his son. The petitioner's son himself also approached the Office of respondent No.3 several times. Even after the lapse of 6-7 years, the respondents have not considered the case of the petitioner's son for compassionate appointment, whereas, as per the Memorandum of Agreement dated 29th July, 1979, applicable in the Steel Authority including the respondents, the petitioner's son is entitled for compassionate appointment. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on similar ground number of persons have been appointed on compassionate appointment, whereas, the case of the petitioner's son is not being considered in spite of the application submitted by the petitioner for compassionate appointment of his son. Therefore, the respondents be directed to consider the application of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in the matter.	

(26)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2849 **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the petition and the documents, the prayer made by learned counsel is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.3 is directed to scrutinize the application submitted by the petitioner for compassionate appointment of his son and pass final order on the application considering the case of the petitioner's son for compassionate appointment, in accordance with the policy of respondent No.1. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

23.6.06